12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER

MICHAEL HUFTILE,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-1522 FCD DAD P

VS.

L.C. MICCIO-FONSECA,

Defendant.

15

Plaintiff, a state hospital detainee proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On December 8, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

## Case 2:03-cv-01522-FCD-DAD Document 45 Filed 02/20/07 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 8, 2006, are adopted in
3	full; and
4	2. Plaintiff's September 14, 2006 motion to reinstate declaratory relief and
5	damages is denied.
6	DATED: February 16, 2007.
7	1/20 8hans
8	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	